On the kernelization complexity of colorful motifs

### **IPEC 2010**

### joint work with Abhimanyu M. Ambalath , Radheshyam Balasundaram , Chintan Rao H, Venkata Koppula, Geevarghese Philip , and M S Ramanujan



Colorful Motifs.



#### Colorful Motifs.

#### A problem with immense utility in bioinformatics.



#### Colorful Motifs.

A problem with immense utility in bioinformatics. Intractable — from the kernelization point of view — on very simple graph classes.

More observations ruling out approaches towards many poly kernels in more general situations.

More observations ruling out approaches towards many poly kernels in more general situations.

Some NP-hardness results with applications to discovering other hardness results.

More observations ruling out approaches towards many poly kernels in more general situations.

Some NP-hardness results with applications to discovering other hardness results.

Observing hardness of polynomial kernelization on other classes of graphs.

#### Introducing Colorful Motifs



#### Introducing Colorful Motifs

First: Graph Motifs















Topology-free querying of protein interaction networks. Sharon Bruckner, Falk Hüffner, Richard M. Karp, Ron Shamir, and Roded Sharan. In Proceedings of RECOMB 2009.



Topology-free querying of protein interaction networks. Sharon Bruckner, Falk Hüffner, Richard M. Karp, Ron Shamir, and Roded Sharan. In Proceedings of RECOMB 2009.

Motif search in graphs: Application to metabolic networks. Vincent Lacroix, Cristina G. Fernandes, and Marie-France Sagot. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 2006. Sharp tractability borderlines for finding connected motifs in vertex-colored graphs. Michael R. Fellows, Guillaume Fertin, Danny Hermelin, and Stéphane Vialette. In Proceedings of ICALP 2007



NP-Complete even when:



NP-Complete even when:

G is a tree with maximum degree 3.



NP-Complete even when:

G is a tree with maximum degree 3.

G is a bipartite graph with maximum degree 4 and M is a multiset over just two colors.



FPT parameterized by |M|,



FPT parameterized by |M|, W[2]-hard parameterized by the number of colors in M.



#### Colorful Motifs



## 

COLORFUL WOTTES

### 



# 



Finding and Counting Vertex-Colored Subtrees. Sylvain Guillemot and Florian Sikora. In MFCS 2010.



Finding and Counting Vertex-Colored Subtrees. Sylvain Guillemot and Florian Sikora. In MFCS 2010.

A  $O^*(2^{|\mathcal{M}|})$  algorithm.



Kernelization hardness of connectivity problems in d-degenerate graphs. Marek Cygan, Marcin Pilipczuk, Micha Pilipczuk, and Jakub O. Wojtaszczyk. In WG 2010.



Kernelization hardness of connectivity problems in d-degenerate graphs. Marek Cygan, Marcin Pilipczuk, Micha Pilipczuk, and Jakub O. Wojtaszczyk. In WG 2010.

NP-complete even when restricted to ....





No polynomial kernels<sup>1</sup>.



<sup>1</sup>Unless CoNP  $\subseteq$  NP/poly





Many polynomial kernels.














 $\label{eq:comb} \begin{array}{l} \text{On comb graphs:} \\ \text{We get $n$ kernels of size $\mathbb{O}(k^2)$ each.} \end{array}$ 



 $\label{eq:comb} \begin{array}{l} \text{On comb graphs:} \\ \text{We get $n$ kernels of size $\mathbb{O}(k^2)$ each.} \end{array}$ 



On what other classes can we obtain many polynomial kernels using this observation?



# On what other classes can we obtain many polynomial kernels using this observation?

Unfortunately, this observation does not take us very far.



ROOTED COLORFUL MOTIF does not admit a polynomial kernel on trees.



"Fixing" a single vertex *does not help* the cause of kernelization.



"Fixing" a single vertex *does not help* the cause of kernelization. SUBSET COLORFUL MOTIF does not admit a polynomial kernel on trees.



"Fixing" a single vertex *does not help* the cause of kernelization.

"Fixing" a constant subset of vertices does not help either.



Many polynomial kernels for trees? On more general classes of graphs?



A framework for ruling out the possibility of many polynomial kernels?





#### Paths: Polynomial time.





# Catterpillars: Polynomial time.





Lobsters: NP-hard even when...





...restricted to "superstar graphs".



#### "Hardness" on superstars $\rightarrow$ "Hardness" on graphs of diameter two.



"Hardness" on superstars  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter two. "Hardness" on general graphs  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter three.



"Hardness" on superstars  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter two. "Hardness" on general graphs  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter three.

## NP-hardness



"Hardness" on superstars  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter two. "Hardness" on general graphs  $\rightarrow$  "Hardness" on graphs of diameter three.

## NP-hardness

NP-hardness and infeasibility of obtaining polynomial kernels



Polynomial kernels for graphs of diameter two? For superstars?





Constant Time





W[2]-hard (Split Graphs)





<u>}??</u>?

ANAPPICATION

NP-complete



W[2]-hard



Colorful Motifs on graphs of diameter two  $\downarrow$ Connected Dominating Set on graphs of diameter two


















## This was an advertisement for COLORFUL MOTIFS.



This was an advertisement for COLORFUL MOTIFS.

We wish to propose that it may be a popular choice as a problem to reduce from, for showing hardness of polynomial kernelization, and even NP-hardness, for graph problems that have a connectivity requirement from the solution.



Several open questions in the context of kernelization for the colorful motifs problem alone, and also for closely related problems.



When we encounter hardness, we are compelled to look out for other parameters for improving the situation. There is plenty of opportunity for creativity: finding parameters that are sensible in practice *and* useful for algorithms.





Thank you!

